

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/720,537 | 11/25/2003 | Toshinao Arai | Q78623 | 4406 |
| 23373 | 7590 06/15/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| | MION, PLLC YLVANIA AVENUE, N | T W | LECHERT JR | , STEPHEN J |
| SUITE 800 | ILVANIA AVENUE, N | N. YY . | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ON, DC 20037 | | 1732 | |

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 5 | |
|---|--|
| | |

| caminer ephen J. Lechert Jr. s on the cover sheet with the c SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(state of the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days oply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from see the application to become ABANDONE of this communication, even if timely filed the 2004. ion is non-final. except for formal matters, proparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | S) FROM mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any |
|--|--|
| ephen J. Lechert Jr. s on the cover sheet with the c SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(In no event, however, may a reply be time in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from see the application to become ABANDONE of this communication, even if timely filed th 2004. ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pro- | orrespondence address S) FROM nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any |
| SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(In no event, however, may a reply be time in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from see the application to become ABANDONE of this communication, even if timely filed the 2004. ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pro- | S) FROM The property of the property of the mailing date of this communication. The property of the property |
| SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(s). In no event, however, may a reply be time in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days only and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from see the application to become ABANDONE of this communication, even if timely filed the 2004. ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pro- | S) FROM mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any |
| In no event, however, may a reply be time in the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days only and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from see the application to become ABANDONE of this communication, even if timely filed the control of the communication of the communicat | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). , may reduce any |
| ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pro | |
| ion is non-final. except for formal matters, pro | |
| except for formal matters, pro | |
| • | |
| arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. |
| | |
| | • |
| rom consideration. ection requirement. | |
| | |
| a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto ving(s) be held in abeyance. See s required if the drawing(s) is obj iner. Note the attached Office | e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). |
| | |
| ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) eve been received. eve been received in Application documents have been received CT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not receive | on No ed in this National Stage |
| | |
| e vision | ection requirement. a) accepted or b) objected on the property of the drawing of the drawing of the attached |



Application/Control Number: 10/720,537 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1, 12, 13, 14 and 15 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 18, 22, 25 and 27 of copending Application No. 10/657,090. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in both applications the claims are drawn to a method of casting a dope on a support; stripping the cast film from the support; and drying the cast film. Although, the '090 application does not recite specifically a

Application/Control Number: 10/720,537 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

drying step, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the cooling step, and the step of providing heated air would obviously dry the film.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1, 12, 13, 14 and 15 are provisionally rejected under the 3. judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 2 of copending Application No. 10/634,833. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because in both applications the claims are drawn to a method of casting a dope on a support; stripping the cast film from the support; and drying the cast film. Although, the '833 application does not recite specifically stripping and cooling, the step of separating the dope from the support using a specific force would function equivalently to the step of stripping thus rending the claim obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,537 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Topolkareb et al. teach a method of casting a film using temperatures lower than zero degrees centigrade, but does not teach the dope with the polymer and solvent as claimed.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Lechert Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1203. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,537

Art Unit: 1732

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen J. Lechert Jr.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732